Measures in ternary homogeneous structures By Paolo Marimon, p.marimon19@imperial.ac.uk

(1) Measures on countable graphs and hypergraphs

Measures are important in model theory since

- they give a good notion of size on infinite structures;
- they often capture how a structure can be obtained by random constructions (e.g. the random graph);
- they naturally arise in infinite structures approximating the behaviour of a class of finite structures (e.g. **pseudofinite fields**).

We focus on probability measures arising in highly symmetrical countable graphs and hypergraphs.

The 3-hypergraphs that we study exhibit oddlybehaved measures which serve as counterexamples to many conjectures in the field.

(2) Definable sets in graphs and hypergraphs

We focus on **definable sets** in graphs and hypergraphs. For example, for a graph G and $a, b \in G$,

$$E(x,a) \wedge E(x,b)$$

defines the set of vertices $x \in G$ joined to both a and b. A **3-hypergraph** has a 3-hyperedge relation R(x, y, z).

We work with **homogeneous** structures: any isomorphism between finite substructures extends to an automorphism of the whole structure.

(3) Invariant Keisler measures

A **Keisler measure** on a structure \mathcal{M} is a finitely additive **probability** measure on its definable subsets. We are interested in measures **invariant un**der automorphisms:

 $\mu(X) = \mu(\sigma(X))$ for $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}(M)$.

For $d \in M, A \subset M, \mu(d/A)$ is the measure of the intersection of all sets containing d defined with parameters from A.

(4) Example: measures in homogeneous graphs

Countable homogeneous graphs have been classified in [5]. Two important examples:

- the random graph. The unique countable homogeneous graph containing every finite graph as a subgraph;
- the generic triangle-free graph. The unique countable homogeneous graph containing every finite triangle-free graph.

In a countable homogeneous graph, the measure $\mu(d/A)$ does not depend on the structure of A

For example, for $a, b \in G$ forming an edge and $a', b' \in G$ not forming an edge,

$$\mu(E(x,a) \wedge E(x,b)) = \mu(E(x,a') \wedge E(x,b')).$$



This is due to a very general and powerful principle that holds in this context [4]:

Stationarity: Given *a* and *b* independent,

$$\mu(\phi(x,a) \land \psi(x,b))$$

only depends on the structure of a and b respectively, and not on the structure of *ab*.

Stationarity and some ergodic theory allow for a full classification of invariant Keisler measures on homogeneous countable graphs [1].

. . . . (5) Higher stationarity?

Given a, b, c satisfying adequate independence assumptions, can we prove that

$\mu(\phi(x,ab) \land \psi(x,ac) \land \chi(x,bc))$

only depends on the structure of the pairs ab, ac, bcand not on the structure of the whole triplet?

A version of this **higher stationarity** holds in many structures (e.g. homogeneous graphs). Moreover it was suggested by hypergraph regularity results in pseudofinite fields [2].









peredge.

tetrahedron-The generic free 3-hypergraph \mathcal{H} is the unique countable homogeneous 3-hypergraph containing every finite tetrahedron-free 3hypergraph as a substructure.

8
[1]
[2]
[3]
F / 7
[4]
[5]
[6]

(6) The universal homogeneous two-graph has a unique measure

A two-graph is a 3-hypergraph such that every four vertices have an even number of hyperedges.

Allowed

The **universal homogeneous two-graph** \mathcal{G} is the unique countable 3-hypergraph containing every finite two-graph as a substructure.

The universal homogeneous two-graph gives **a** counterexample to higher stationarity:

Take $a, b, c \in \mathcal{G}$ not forming a hyperedge. Then,

because if there was any such x, there would be four vertices with three hyperedges. Meanwhile, for $a', b', c' \in \mathcal{G}$ forming a hyperedge,

 $\mu(R(x,a'b') \wedge R(x,a'c') \wedge R(x,b'c')) = \frac{1}{4}.$

(7) The generic tetrahedron-free 3-hypergraph is not MS-measurable

A tetrahedron is a 3-hypergraph of four vertices such that each three of them form a hy-



An MS-measurable structure [6] has a well-behaved system of a dimension and measures. It was believed that various properties satisfied by \mathcal{H} jointly implied MS-measurability [3]. However,

No "well-behaved" system of measures

The generic tetrahedron-free 3-hypergraph is not MS-measurable.

The trick behind the proof is forcing higher stationarity: fix d and consider a, b, c such that each pair forms a hyperedge with it. *abc* cannot form a hyperedge since we avoid tetrahedrons!

Hence, for any formulas, and $\mu \operatorname{Aut}(H/d)$ -invariant:

 $\mu(\phi(x, ab, d) \land \psi(x, ac, d) \land \chi(x, bc, d))$

is entirely determined by the structure of each triplet containing dand two vertices from *abc*.

References

M. H. Albert. "Measures on the random graph". In: J London Math Soc 50.3 (1994), pp. 417–429. A. Chevalier and E. Levi. An Algebraic Hypergraph Regularity Lemma. 2022. arXiv: 2204.01158 [math.CO]. R. Elwes and D. Macpherson. "A survey of asymptotic classes and measurable structures". In: Model Theory with Applications to Algebra and Analysis. Vol. 2. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. CUP, 2008, pp. 125–160. E. Hruhsovski. "Stable Group Theory and Approximate subgroups". In: J Am Math Soc 25.1 (2012), pp. 189–243. A. H. Lachlan and R. E. Woodrow. "Countable Ultrahomogeneous Undirected Graphs". In: Trans Am Math Soc 262.1 (1980), pp. 51–94. D. Macpherson and C. Steinhorn. "One-dimensional asymptotic classes of finite structures". In: Trans Am Math Soc 360.1 (2008), pp. 411–448.

Imperial College London

A unique measure

There is a unique invariant Keisler measure for \mathcal{G} . Given d, a_1, \ldots, a_n distinct, we have that

$$\mu(d/a_1,\ldots,a_n) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1}$$

 $\mu(R(x, ab) \wedge R(x, ac) \wedge R(x, bc)) = 0,$

