

Extra exercises are marked with a ******. I DO NOT EXPECT YOU TO ANSWER THEM. I hope they can bring you joy.

Definition 1. Let $(\mathcal{A}_i \mid i \in I)$ be a family of \mathcal{L} -structures, and let \mathcal{F} be an ultrafilter on I . Let \sim be the equivalence relation on $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$, where for $(a_i)_{i \in I}, (b_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ we have that

$$(a_i)_{i \in I} \sim (b_i)_{i \in I} \text{ if and only if } \{i \in I \mid a_i = b_i\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We define the **ultraproduct** $\mathcal{U} := \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i / \mathcal{F}$ as follows:

- The domain U of \mathcal{U} is the set of all equivalence classes $(\prod_{i \in I} A_i) / \sim$;
- For c a constant \mathcal{L} -symbol, $c^{\mathcal{U}} := [(c^{A_i})_{i \in I}]_{\sim}$;
- For R an n -ary relation symbol in \mathcal{L} , and $[(a_i^1)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}, \dots, [(a_i^n)_{i \in I}]_{\sim} \in U$,

$$R^{\mathcal{U}}([(a_i^1)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}, \dots, [(a_i^n)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}) \text{ if and only if } \{i \in I \mid R^{A_i}(a_i^1, \dots, a_i^n)\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

- For f an n -ary function symbol in \mathcal{L} and $[(a_i^1)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}, \dots, [(a_i^n)_{i \in I}]_{\sim} \in U$,

$$f^{\mathcal{U}}([(a_i^1)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}, \dots, [(a_i^n)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}) := [(f^{A_i}(a_i^1, \dots, a_i^n))_{i \in I}]_{\sim}.$$

EXERCISE 1. Show that \mathcal{U} is well-defined.

EXERCISE 2. Prove Łoś' Theorem:

For any \mathcal{L} -formula, $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and any $[(a_i^1)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}, \dots, [(a_i^n)_{i \in I}]_{\sim} \in U$,

$$\mathcal{U} \models \phi([(a_i^1)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}, \dots, [(a_i^n)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}) \text{ if and only if } \{i \in I \mid \mathcal{A}_i \models \phi(a_i^1, \dots, a_i^n)\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

EXERCISE 3. Deduce the compactness theorem from Łoś' Theorem. That is, show that a theory T is consistent (i.e. it has a model) if and only if every finite subset $S \subseteq T$ is consistent.

Definition 2. An \mathcal{L} -structure \mathcal{M} is **pseudofinite** if for every \mathcal{L} -sentence σ such that $\mathcal{M} \models \sigma$ there is a finite \mathcal{L} -structure \mathcal{M}_0 such that $\mathcal{M}_0 \models \sigma$.

**** EXERCISE 4.** Show that an \mathcal{L} -structure \mathcal{M} is pseudofinite if and only if it is elementarily equivalent to an ultraproduct of finite \mathcal{L} -structures, i.e. there is an ultraproduct \mathcal{U} of finite \mathcal{L} -structures such that $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{Th}(\mathcal{U})$.

EXERCISE 5. A graph is a structure with a binary relation which is symmetric and irreflexive. A graph is **connected** if there is a (finite) path between any two of its vertices. Prove that the class of connected graphs is not **elementary**, that is, there is no first order theory whose models are exactly the connected graphs.

Definition 3. A **well-order** is a linear order such that every non-empty set has a least element.

EXERCISE 6. Let $\phi(x, y)$ be an \mathcal{L} -formula. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is an infinite \mathcal{L} -structure where $\phi(A^2)$ forms a well-order on A . Show that there is an \mathcal{L} -structure B with the same theory as \mathcal{A} for which $\phi(B^2)$ is a linear ordering which is not a well-order.

**** EXERCISE 7.** Let G be an infinite simple group (i.e. the only normal subgroups of G are the trivial group and itself). Show that for every infinite cardinality $\lambda \leq |G|$, G has a simple subgroup of cardinality λ .

1 Hints

Spoilers ahead! Keep in mind that for some of the exercises it should be sufficient for you to remind yourself of some of the relevant definitions and theorems.

- EXERCISES 2, 3, 4: For the exercises on ultraproducts, you might have to remind yourself of some of the basic properties of ultrafilters. In particular, we say that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(I)$ has the **finite intersection property** if any collection of finitely many sets from \mathcal{A} has non-empty intersection. Any $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(I)$ with the **finite intersection property** is contained in a filter \mathcal{F} on I . Then, you just need to remember the Ultrafilter Lemma: any filter on I extends to an ultrafilter on I .
- EXERCISE 6: Adapt the proof of the upwards Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem.
- EXERCISE 7: Apply the downwards Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem. Recall the definition of **normal subgroup generated by** a set of elements in a group:

Definition 4. Let $A \subseteq G$. The **normal subgroup generated by** A in G is the smallest normal subgroup of G containing A . It is given by the subgroup of G generated by

$$\bigcup_{g \in G} gAg^{-1}.$$

If you struggle with proving this result, try and prove the following slightly easier result:

EXERCISE 7': Let G be an infinite connected graph. Show that for every infinite cardinality $\lambda \leq |G|$, G has a connected subgraph H elementarily equivalent to G and of cardinality λ .

You know that being connected is not an elementary property. So how are you going to get that H is still connected?