

Extra exercises are marked with a **\*\***. I DO NOT EXPECT YOU TO ANSWER THEM. I hope they can bring you joy.

**Definition 1.** Let  $(\mathcal{A}_i \mid i \in I)$  be a family of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an ultrafilter on  $I$ . Let  $\sim$  be the equivalence relation on  $\prod_{i \in I} A_i$ , where for  $(a_i)_{i \in I}, (b_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} A_i$  we have that

$$(a_i)_{i \in I} \sim (b_i)_{i \in I} \text{ if and only if } \{i \in I \mid a_i = b_i\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We define the **ultraproduct**  $\mathcal{U} := \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_i / \mathcal{F}$  as follows:

- The domain  $U$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  is the set of all equivalence classes  $(\prod_{i \in I} A_i) / \sim$ ;
- For  $c$  a constant  $\mathcal{L}$ -symbol,  $c^{\mathcal{U}} := [(c^{A_i})_{i \in I}]_{\sim}$ ;
- For  $R$  an  $n$ -ary relation symbol in  $\mathcal{L}$ , and  $[(a_i^1)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}, \dots, [(a_i^n)_{i \in I}]_{\sim} \in U$ ,

$$R^{\mathcal{U}}([(a_i^1)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}, \dots, [(a_i^n)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}) \text{ if and only if } \{i \in I \mid R^{A_i}(a_i^1, \dots, a_i^n)\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

- For  $f$  an  $n$ -ary function symbol in  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $[(a_i^1)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}, \dots, [(a_i^n)_{i \in I}]_{\sim} \in U$ ,

$$f^{\mathcal{U}}([(a_i^1)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}, \dots, [(a_i^n)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}) := [(f^{A_i}(a_i^1, \dots, a_i^n))_{i \in I}]_{\sim}.$$

**EXERCISE 1.** Show that  $\mathcal{U}$  is well-defined.

**EXERCISE 2.** Prove Łoś' Theorem:

For any  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula,  $\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and any  $[(a_i^1)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}, \dots, [(a_i^n)_{i \in I}]_{\sim} \in U$ ,

$$\mathcal{U} \models \phi([(a_i^1)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}, \dots, [(a_i^n)_{i \in I}]_{\sim}) \text{ if and only if } \{i \in I \mid \mathcal{A}_i \models \phi(a_i^1, \dots, a_i^n)\} \in \mathcal{F}.$$

**EXERCISE 3.** Deduce the compactness theorem from Łoś' Theorem. That is, show that a theory  $T$  is consistent (i.e. it has a model) if and only if every finite subset  $S \subseteq T$  is consistent.

**Definition 2.** An  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{M}$  is **pseudofinite** if for every  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentence  $\sigma$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \models \sigma$  there is a finite  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{M}_0$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_0 \models \sigma$ .

**\*\* EXERCISE 4.** Show that an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $\mathcal{M}$  is pseudofinite if and only if it is elementarily equivalent to an ultraproduct of finite  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures, i.e. there is an ultraproduct  $\mathcal{U}$  of finite  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures such that  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{Th}(\mathcal{U})$ .

**EXERCISE 5.** A graph is a structure with a binary relation which is symmetric and irreflexive. A graph is **connected** if there is a (finite) path between any two of its vertices. Prove that the class of connected graphs is not **elementary**, that is, there is no first order theory whose models are exactly the connected graphs.

An exercise using the same proof idea as the upwards Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem:

**Definition 3.** A **well-order** is a linear order such that every non-empty set has a least element.

**EXERCISE 6.** Let  $\phi(x, y)$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula. Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an infinite  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure where  $\phi(A^2)$  forms a well-order on  $A$ . Show that there is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure  $B$  with the same theory as  $\mathcal{A}$  for which  $\phi(B^2)$  is a linear ordering which is not a well-order.

An exercise applying the downwards Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem:

**\*\* EXERCISE 7.** Let  $G$  be an infinite simple group (i.e. the only normal subgroups of  $G$  are the trivial group and itself). Show that for every infinite cardinality  $\lambda \leq |G|$ ,  $G$  has a simple subgroup of cardinality  $\lambda$ .