

Definition 1. We say that $\phi(x, y)$ has the **independence property**, IP, if there are $(b_i | i < \omega)$ and $(a_s | s \subseteq \omega)$ such that

$$\models \phi(a_s, b_i) \Leftrightarrow i \in s.$$

We say that $\phi(x, y)$ is NIP if it does not have the independence property. A theory is NIP if all of its formulas are NIP.

Example 2. The random graph has the independence property.

EXERCISE 1. Prove that a formula $\phi(x, y)$ has IP if and only if there is an indiscernible sequence $(b_i | i \in I)$ and a parameter a such that

$$\models \phi(a, b_i) \text{ if and only if } i \text{ is even.}$$

Definition 3. We say that $\phi(x, y)$ has the **strict order property**, SOP if there is an infinite sequence $(b_i)_{i < \omega}$ such that $\phi(\mathbb{M}, b_i) \subsetneq \phi(\mathbb{M}, b_j)$ for all $i < j < \omega$. We say that $\phi(x, y)$ is NSOP if it does not have the strict order property, and we say that a theory T is NSOP if all of its formulas are NSOP.

EXERCISE 2. Prove that T is stable if and only if it is NIP and NSOP. [Hint: For the (\Leftarrow) direction, consider an unstable theory with NIP and prove it must have the SOP.]

Definition 4. Let R be an associative ring with identity. Let $\mathcal{L}_R := \{+, 0, (\mu_r)_{r \in R}\}$, where the μ_r are unary function symbols. The theory of (left) R -modules T_R asserts of a model M that $(M, +, 0)$ is an Abelian group, and each f_r is an endomorphism of this group with f_1 being the identity map. Note that this theory is not complete.

Definition 5. An \mathcal{L} -formula is called **primitive positive** if it is an existential quantification of a conjunction of atomic formulas. That is, if it is of the form

$$\exists \bar{y} \bigwedge_{i \leq n} \psi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}),$$

where the formulas $\psi_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ are atomic.

Fact 6. The theory T_R has elimination of quantifiers up to primitive positive formulas. That is, for every \mathcal{L}_R -sentence, $\phi(\bar{x})$ there is a Boolean combination of primitive positive formulas $\psi(\bar{x})$ such that $T_R \models \forall \bar{x} \phi(\bar{x}) \leftrightarrow \psi(\bar{x})$.

EXERCISE 3. Consider a primitive positive \mathcal{L}_R -formula $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{z})$ and let M be an R -module. Show that $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{0})$, where $\bar{0}$ is a string of 0's of length $|\bar{z}|$ defines either \emptyset or a subgroup of $M^{|\bar{x}|}$. Show that for any tuple \bar{a} such that $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{a})$ is consistent, $\phi(M, \bar{a})$ is a coset of $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{0})$. Deduce that for any tuples \bar{a}, \bar{b} , $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{a})$ and $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{b})$ are either mutually inconsistent or equivalent. Using elimination of quantifiers up to pp-formulas, prove that for any R -module M , its theory is stable.

Definition 7. A group G satisfies the **ω -stable descending chain condition** if there is no infinite proper descending chain of definable subgroups of G ,

$$\cdots < H_{i+1} < H_i < \cdots < H_1 < G.$$

EXERCISE 4. Show that if G is an ω -stable group (i.e. a group whose theory is ω -stable), then G satisfies the ω -stable descending chain condition. Show that for an R -module M , the following are equivalent:

- M is ω -stable;
- M has the ω -stable descending chain condition;
- For any set of pp-formulas in a single variable $\{\phi_n(x) | n < \omega\}$ there is some $n \in \omega$ such that for all $m \in \omega$, $M \models \forall x (\bigwedge_{i < n} \phi_i(x) \rightarrow \phi_m(x))$.